Email 08 of 35

Fw: Objection to an urgent Crown & Development Order for MOD Bicester Site A

Source file	Fw Objection to an urgent Crown Development Order for MOD Bicester Site A.msg
From	[redacted]
To	Ministerial Correspondence

You don't often get email from [redacted]. Learn why this is important <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>

Dear Secretary of State,

Just checking if this email was received as I do not seem to have had a message advising receipt ?

Thanks

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 14 August 2026 07:24
To: Ministerial.Correspondence@communities.gov.uk <Ministerial.Correspondence@communities.gov.uk>
Subject: Objection to an urgent Crown & Development Order for MOD Bicester Site A

Dear Secretary of State,

Sunday 9th August 2026

A leisurely walk with my wife and our dog across the parklands at [redacted].

Late morning a stroll into the town centre for a bit of shopping and then a lunch.

Evening another walk in the park at [redacted]. All in all a very pleasant and peaceful day.

Very unfortunately days like this will cease forever if the application goes ahead. So 1200 + male asylum seekers / illegal immigrants / migrants may descend into our community.

My wife will never be able to make this walk alone again in the parklands. Would you let your wife or daughter walk on their own if this proposed model goes ahead ?

Is it safe for anybody to walk alone in the future given these circumstances ?

No more going to the local pub by foot ? It is impossible to consider this may be safe.

It would seem the Government by backing this scheme are just showing contempt to the local community. People who have built their lives and businesses in a decent environment and now facing complete turmoil.

Security:

Have you considered who will pay for house alarms and security lighting ? How many more Police will be required ? Is that built into the plan ?

House Prices:

I am assuming the Government don’t mind the inevitable significant decline in house prices ? People who have worked hard to purchase their own property and overnight the value will change forever. Is that a consideration on your part or is it immaterial to you ? Maybe it would be a different thought process if this was your home town ?

Tax paying public to the nation:

I am intrigued to understand the logic applied to hard working members of the public who have always paid taxes and upheld law and order in the community. How these some people are about to be betrayed by Government.

Everyone knows it is wrong. Why are you considering housing 1200+ male's into this community. It is a disaster for residents of Bicester. What of the even smaller villages of Piddington and Arncott. So small in population (Piddington 400 I understand and circa 1650 in Arncott) and so very close to the proposed camp. How can any of those residents feel safe. Would you ? Some of these people must be worried sick. How can Government condone such a plan.

My own contribution to the U.K:

I was brought up on a very small farm in [redacted] Parents with very little money. I started work around 7 or 8 years old as my parents could not afford any staff. Probably of no interest to you but I need to make some points. I left school and started work at 17 and have continued all my life. Always paying tax to you the Government. Later in life in my 40's I managed with a business partner to run a small company. We employed 40 people and 80% of our business was export. We contributed not only our own taxes but gave employment to good people and brought in overseas revenue to the U.K.

In my career I worked with a team who won two Queens Award for Export and later in life with my own business was invited to Buckingham Palace on two occasions to the Queens Garden Party for my 'Services to Export'

The reason you are hearing this story is the following:

Do you prefer to support people like me who pay tax and contribute to the state ?

Or do you prefer to support illegal migrants who contribute zero but take plenty of benefits.

Please tell me which type of person you prefer ?

Now I have built a home and family in [redacted] and you appear to completely disregard the values of life. Security in the area, significant financial decline in our property. Is that really how it works ? A lifetime of support to the U.K. Government and completed disregarded by potentially bringing in high numbers of single men.

Please don't even consider calling me racist. In my career I have visited 87 different countries, most on business. I have always respected their local culture and call many friends. I have worked with so many different nationalities and with success, respect and honour.

I really struggle to understand the Government stance in this matter. Why subject our community to such a badly thought out plan. There is no sense. If you must allow illegal migrants into the country which is such a national disaster why not house them in isolated places well away from honest hard working U.K. residents. Or take an approach like Australia. Apparently the Australian model worked so well the problem disappeared. I am no expert on what the U.K. Government does or doesn't pay France to keep the boats out. I read stories we pay a lot of money to France to stem the tide and we all see pictures and stories of the French gendarme just watching boats depart often in amusement. Perhaps I am wrong here but you are welcome to inform how it works.

Government information in the media these past few days

I have read quite a few articles recently. I believe Andy Burnham is stating that more affluent area's need to share the burden of housing . While I like most of Britain completely disagree with how the migrants gain such easy access to our country and benefits, if sharing is the correct strategy then it should be done in a fair manner.

There would appear to be around 950 towns in the UK with a population exceeding 10,000. So why not put 1 migrant or maximum 2 in each town ? That I would say is called sharing. And much safer. Ms Turley advises there should be fair and even distribution. This situation would therefore be very fair and total distribution throughout the UK.

Ms Turley I note says the 1200 males may not go to the village but are contained in a military base and then concedes they are free to leave the site. Does she really believe 1,200 males will stay on site all day every day. If so she is clearly out of touch and doesn't understand how these camps work ! Let's hope Andy Burnham see's sense.

To conclude:

Who are the U.K. Government backing ? U.K. tax paying residents or ruining our lives to support illegal migrants who bring in zero but take out plenty of benefits.

Would you allow your wife or daughter or friends to walk alone in our communities with 1200+ males roaming around.

Surely common sense dictates1,200+ males next to a small village of 400 residents is a complete non-starter. Absolutely crazy and in years to come no doubt some tragic stories will unfold. There will be theft, damage to property, almost certainly sexual assaults and probably much worse.

Surely UK Gov't should care about house prices and the security of local residents. Andy Burnham states he will listen to peoples views. Then we should commence with that process not feel Gov't can just go ahead with a poorly thought out plan.

This plan should cease immediately. House 1 migrant in every UK town to satisfy the wish of fair and even distribution.

Finally I look forward to your response.

[redacted]

[redacted]

Sent from Outlook for iOS <https://gbr01.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Faka.ms%2Fo0ukef&data=05%7C02%7Cministerial.correspondence%40communities.gov.uk%7C25b5424b1f54418aec1a08deff5eb418%7Cbf3468109c7d43dea87224a2ef3995a8%7C0%7C0%7C639228979413756367%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJFbXB0eU1hcGkiOnRydWUsIlYiOiIwLjAuMDAwMCIsIlAiOiJXaW4zMiIsIkFOIjoiTWFpbCIsIldUIjoyfQ%3D%3D%7C60000%7C%7C%7C&sdata=pHXdWrrabaY5MxpDZCkT8C3tZAWfahY%2FKzQjYsFH%2Fak%3D&reserved=0>